Proffered Papers

The difference in dose prescription point (applicator surface for single-dose IORT, 1 cm distance for APBI) influenced the isoeffective doses for different applicator sizes. The predicted risk of local recurrence was lower after isoeffective hypofractionation compared with single-dose IORT. The reduction was larger with  $\alpha/\beta=10$  Gy than with  $\alpha/\beta=4$  Gy for tumour cells but the size of the sphere of equivalence (within which local control is the same as for external beam RT) was larger than 10 mm in all cases.

Conclusions: All scenarios predicted a sphere of equivalence larger than the 10 mm of tumour bed tissue defined as the target volume in the TARGIT trial. Thus hypofractionated APBI should expand the therapeutic window. However, RBE estimates are sensitive to assumptions of the model at low doses, and the choice of dose depends critically on the actual value of RBE for late reaction. Therefore, the dose-effect relationship for late reaction should be tested in a phase II trial.

2036 POSTER

Potential change of ranking of competing treatment plans when combining radiotherapy with adjuvant chemotherapy: a radiobiological modeling study

I.S. Vogelius<sup>1</sup>, D.C. Westerly<sup>2</sup>, G.C. Cannon<sup>2</sup>, S.M. Bentzen<sup>2</sup>. <sup>1</sup>Vejle Sygehus, Dept. of Oncology, Vejle, Denmark; <sup>2</sup>University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Department of Human Oncology, Madison, USA

**Background:** To investigate if the ranking of competing radiation therapy plans with respect to the risk of radiation induced pneumonitis may change when combining radiation with chemotherapy.

when combining radiation with chemotherapy.

Materials and Methods: Eighteen non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients previously treated with helical tomotherapy were selected for a modeling study. Three competing treatment plans were generated for each patient: the delivered tomotherapy plan, a 3D conformal radiotherapy plan (3D-CRT) and a fixed field, intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) plan. The effect of chemotherapy on the normal lung was modeled as an independent cell killing process by adding a uniform, chemotherapy equivalent background dose of radiation to the entire organ at risk. The pneumonitis risk of each plan was estimated using the most common normal tissue complication probability (NTCP) models.

Results: In the case of radiation alone, NTCP values calculated using the critical volume model predict lower toxicity with both IMRT techniques than with 3D-CRT. However, this ranking order is reversed when a critical chemotherapy equivalent dose is exceeded: the modeling predicts greater toxicity with both IMRT techniques as compared to 3D-CRT. The critical dose causing the ranking to change is 5–15 Gy depending on model parameters. This dose is comparable to the chemotherapy equivalent dose derived from published clinical data. A recent clinical trial at our institution provides an indication that neoadjuvant chemotherapy does not share the risk profile of adjuvant chemotherapy applied following IMRT.

**Conclusions:** The addition of chemotherapy can influence the optimal choice of radiotherapy technique and planning procedures. Understanding the interaction of chemotherapy and radiotherapy will improve our ability to predict and potentially minimize the individual risk of adverse effects.

2037 POSTER

Involved node and involved field volumetric modulated arc vs. fixed beam intensity modulated radiotherapy for female patients with early stage Hodgkin lymphoma: a comparative planning study

<u>D.C. Weber</u><sup>1</sup>, N. Peguret<sup>1</sup>, G. Dipasquale<sup>1</sup>, L. Cozzi<sup>2</sup>. <sup>1</sup>University Hospital of Geneva, Radiation Oncology, Geneva 14, Switzerland; <sup>2</sup>Oncology Institute of Southern Switzerland, Medical Physics Unit, Bellinzona, Switzerland

Background: A comparative treatment planning study was performed to compare volumetric-modulated arc (VMAT) to conventional intensity modulated (IMRT) for involved-field (IFRT) and -node (INRT) radiotherapy. Materials and Methods: Plans for 10 early stage Hodgkin lymphoma female patients were computed for VMAT and IMRT. First, the planning target volume (PTV) coverage and organ at risk (OAR) dose deposition was assessed between the two modalities. Second, the OAR's Dose-Volume Histograms (DVHs) were computed and compared for IFRT and INRT, respectively

**Results:** For IFRT and INRT, PTV coverage equally homogeneous with both VMAT and IMRT. By and large, the OAR irradiation with the IFRT planning paradigm was not significantly different between VMAT and IMRT, except for occasional dose metrics computed for the lung ( $D_{33\%}$  9.4±1.7 vs.  $10.2\pm1.5$  Gy; p=0.03) and breast ( $D_{1\%}$  13.7±8.1 vs.  $15.2\pm7.9$  Gy; p=0.03). For INRT, doses computed for VMAT were usually lower than those with IMRT, particularly so for the lung and breast. Compared to IMRT, the planning of VMAT leads to a significant decrease of the non-target tissue irradiation for IFRT (mean,  $7.1\pm1.8$  vs.  $6.7\pm1.9$  Gy; p<0.001) and

INRT (mean,  $5.3\pm1.7~vs.~5.1\pm1.8~Gy;~p=0.003$ ). Regardless of VMAT and IMRT modalities, a significant OAR's computed mean doses of 20-50% was observed with INRT when compared to IFRT.

Conclusions: VMAT and IMRT results in similar level of dose homogeneity. With INRT but not IFRT planning, the computed doses to the PTV and OAR's were usually higher and lower with VMAT when compared to IMRT. INRT when compared to IFRT planning led to a consequential decrease in OAR's computed doses.

2038 POSTER

Impact of PET-CT on radiotherapy planning and prediction of primary radiotherapy effects in non-small-cell lung cancer

A. Nishioka<sup>1</sup>
 S. Kariya<sup>1</sup>
 K. Miyatake<sup>1</sup>
 M. Tadokoro<sup>1</sup>
 K. Tsuzuki<sup>1</sup>
 N. Hamada<sup>1</sup>
 M. Fukumoto<sup>1</sup>
 Y. Ogawa<sup>1</sup>
 <sup>1</sup>Kochi University, Diagnostic Radiology and Radiation Oncology, Nankoku, Japan

**Background:** PET-CT (positron emission tomography – computed tomography) is increasingly used in the clinical management of many cancers. Compared to existing diagnostic imaging modalities, the presence, location and extent of lesions may be more accurately ascertained with PET-CT. PET(-CT) is also useful to evaluate the proliferative activity of cancer tissue. We examined the impact of PET-CT on radiotherapy planning and prediction of primary radiotherapy effects in non-small-cell lung cancer.

Materials and Methods: Subjects comprised 24 patients with primary non-small-cell lung cancer. Pre-treatment PET-CT was performed in each patient, and radiotherapy was planned using a 3-dimensional radiotherapy planning system (Pinnacle<sup>3&®</sup>). All patients received radiotherapy at a total dose of 60–70 Gy.

First, chest CT was performed with the radiotherapy-planning CT and the results were sent to Pinnacle<sup>3</sup>®. Next, referring to diagnostic imaging findings from other imaging modalities except for PET-CT, the location and extent of the primary lesion, regional lymph nodes were determined on Pinnacle<sup>3</sup>®. Then, based on pre-treatment PET-CT findings, they were corrected. Lastly, irradiation fields were defined based on corrected lesion location and extent, and the usefulness of PET-CT on radiotherapy planning was investigated. Diagnostic chest CT was performed with each patient before radiotherapy and 4 weeks after radiotherapy to calculate the reduction ratio. Based on these values, the correlation between primary radiotherapy effects and SUV (standardized uptake value) max of pre-treatment PET-CT was determined.

Results: The primary lesion of all patients was clearly depicted by PET-CT. As far as the extent of lesion progression, which is difficult based solely on radiotherapy-planning CT scans, PET-CT made this easy to ascertain. Regarding lymph node metastasis, PET-CT was useful in identifying all lesions, including small lesions that were difficult to detect by other imaging modalities. The reduction ratio ranged from 3.4 to 87.9 percent, and SUV max of pre-treatment PET-CT ranged from 4.3 to 21.3. The reduction ratio was significantly correlated with SUV max of pre-treatment PET-CT.

**Conclusions:** PET-CT provided valuable information about gross tumor volume, and also detected unsuspected nodal disease. Therefore, PET-CT is very useful in radiotherapy planning for non-small-cell lung cancer. PET-CT is also useful for prediction of primary radiotherapy effects.

039 POSTER

Comparison of conventional 3D RT for pelvis and sequential 3D boost plan for prostate versus IMRT plan for pelvis and sequential IMRT boost plan for prostate versus IMRT SIB (pelvis with prostate) versus IMRT SIB (pelvis with prostate) and sequential IMRT boost plan for prostate

G. Mazzarella<sup>1</sup>, <u>A. Bonanni</u><sup>1</sup>, M. Leone<sup>1</sup>, O. Caspiani<sup>1</sup>, C. Guidi<sup>1</sup>, A. Petrone<sup>1</sup>, A. Bufacchi<sup>1</sup>, F. Tortoreto<sup>1</sup>, T. Malatesta<sup>1</sup>, L. Marmiroli<sup>1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>Ospedale "S. Giovanni Calibita" Fatebenefratelli Isola Tiberina, U. O. di Radioterapia, Rome, Italy

**Background:** To compare treatment plans in pelvis and prostate irradiation, from standard 3D conformal photon therapy versus sequential intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) of pelvis and then prostate versus simultaneous integrated boost (SIB) of pelvis and prostate versus sequential SIB (pelvis plus prostate) and then IMRT (prostate only), in the radiotherapy management of high-risk prostate cancer.

**Materials and Methods:** We performed a planning study on a selected patient using 3DRT and IMRT Varian Eclipse 6.5 planning system. We considered: (1) the conventional 3D plan for initial whole-pelvic irradiation (50 Gy,  $25 \times 2$  Gy) and sequential 3D boost plan for prostate and seminal vesicles (28 Gy,  $14 \times 2$  Gy); (2) the IMRT plan for initial whole-pelvic irradiation (50 Gy,  $25 \times 2$  Gy) and sequential IMRT boost plan for prostate and seminal vesicles (28 Gy,  $14 \times 2$  Gy); (3) IMRT SIB (56 Gy,  $35 \times 1.6$  Gy, to pelvic lymph nodes and 74.2 Gy,  $35 \times 2.12$  Gy, to prostate and seminal